#### No. 11-345

# In the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

### ABIGAIL NOEL FISHER,

Petitioner,

V

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, et al., Respondents.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

BRIEF AMICI CURIAE OF 38 CURRENT MEMBERS OF THE TEXAS STATE SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS

Robin A. Lenhardt Fordham University School of Law

Michelle Adams Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law

John Brittain David A. Clarke School of Law Eric L. Lewis
Counsel of Record
Lewis Baach PLLC
1201 F Street, NW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 833-8900
eric.lewis@lewisbaach.com

Counsel for Amici Curiae

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIESiii
STATEMENT OF INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT6
ARGUMENT12
I. THIS COURT'S PRECEDENTS ENCOURAGE THE CREATIVE SOLUTIONS AND STATE EXPERIMENTATION REFLECTED IN THE CHALLENGED UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS ADMISSIONS PROGRAM
II. EXPERIMENTATION WITH RACE- NEUTRAL ALTERNATIVES DOES NOT PRECLUDE A STATE FROM ALSO ADOPTING RACE-CONSCIOUS STRATEGIES THAT SATISFY STRICT SCRUTINY
A. This Court's Precedents Do Not Preclude UT's Simultaneous Use of Race-Neutral and Race-Conscious
Strategies 29

B. Petitioner Misapprehends the Top Ten	
Percent Law's Objectives and the	
Unique Educational Context in which	
UT's Admissions Plan Operates	.27
III. THE REALITIES OF 21st CENTURY	
EDUCATION AND RACE REQUIRE	
THAT STATES BE PERMITTED TO	
PURSUE INNOVATIVE STRATEGIES	
TO MEET COMPELLING NEEDS	
WITHIN THE LIMITS OF STRICT	
SCRUTINY	.32
CONCLUSION	35

# iii

# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	Page(s)
Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena, 515 U.S. 200 (1995)	10, 26
Brown v. Bd. of Educ. of Topeka, 347 U.S. 483 (1954)	12
City of Richmond v. J.A. Croson Co., 488 U.S. 469 (1989)	23
Epperson v. Arkansas, 393 U.S. 97 (1968)	13
Gratz v. Bollinger, 539 U.S. 244 (2003)	26
Grutter v. Bollinger, 539 U.S. 306 (2003)	passim
Hopwood v. Texas, 78 F.3d 932 (5th Cir. 1996)	7, 14
Johnson v. California, 543 U.S. 499 (2005)	22

Milliken v. Bradley, 418 U.S. 717 (1974)13
New State Ice Co. v. Liebmann, 285 U.S. 262 (1932)18
Parents Involved in Comm. Schs. v. Seattle Sch. Dist. No. 1, 551 U.S. 701 (2007)passim
Plyler v. Doe, 457 U.S. 202 (1982)12-13, 28, 30
Regents of Univ. of Cal. v. Bakke, 438 U.S. 265 (1978)28, 31
San Antonio Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 1 (1973)12, 13
Sweatt v. Painter, 339 U.S. 629 (1950)9, 11, 23
United States v. Lopez, 514 U.S. 549 (1995)passin
United States v. Paradise, 480 U.S. 149 (1987)22
Wygant v. Jackson Bd. of Educ., 476 U.S. 267 (1986)22-23, 28
Younger v. Harris, 401 U.S. 37 (1971)20, 33

# **STATUTES**

2009 Tex. Sess. Law Serv. 19 (West)20
Tex. Educ. Code § 51.803 (West 1997)7, 15, 19
Tex. Educ. Code § 65.11 (West 2011)17
Tex. Educ. Code § 65.31 (West 2011)17
OTHER MATERIALS
Henry J. Friendly, Federalism: A Foreword, 86 Yale L.J. 1019, 1034 (1977)
Limit on Top Ten Percent Automatic Admissions Policy, SB 175, House Research Organization Bill Analysis (May 20, 2009)19
Statewide Higher Educational Admissions Policy, HB 588, House Research Organization Bill Analysis (Apr. 15, 1997)15, 29, 32
Susan Combs, Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts, Window on State Government, Demographic Change in Education

## STATEMENT OF INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE

Amici<sup>1</sup> are 38 current members of the legislature of the State of Texas, members of the State Senate and House of Representatives who together reflect Texas's broad diversity, including its geographic and growing racial and ethnic diversity. They submit this brief in support of respondents, the University of Texas - our State's flagship institution of higher education and the alma mater of many of the State's most important leaders, including many members of legislature \_ in furtherance responsibility to ensure that the citizens of Texas have equal access to higher education and unfettered pathways to leadership in the State. They also do so in recognition of their sworn obligation to advance the interests of all the people of Texas and to adopt bold solutions to the problems that affect their lives. Amici wholly endorse the holistic, individualized admissions system at issue in this appeal. maintain that it - together with the Top Ten Percent Law (House Bill 588) enacted by the legislature in 1997 that accounts for the vast majority of student admissions under the University's blended program - represents a proper and constitutional response to the changing demographics of our State and the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 37.6, counsel for Amici Curiae certifies that no counsel for any party authored this brief in whole or in part and that no person or entity other than Amici Curiae or their counsel made a monetary contribution intended to fund the brief's preparation or submission. Letters from the parties consenting to the filing of this brief have been filed with the Clerk.

challenges facing higher education in the 21st Century.

Senator Rodney Ellis (Houston, District 13) has served as a member of the Texas Senate since 1990.

Senator Mario Gallegos, Jr. (Houston, District 6) served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives from 1991 to 1994 and has been a member of the Texas Senate since 1995.

Senator Juan "Chuy" Hinojosa (McAllen, District 20) served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives from 1981 to 1991 and from 1997 to 2002 and has been a member of the Texas Senate since 2002.

Senator José Rodríguez (El Paso, District 29) has served as a member of the Texas Senate since 2011.

Senator Carlos I. Uresti (San Antonio, District 19) served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives from 1997 to 2006 and has been a member of the Texas Senate since 2006.

Senator Leticia Van de Putte (San Antonio, District 26) served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives from 1990 to 1999 and has served as a member of the Texas Senate since 1999.

Senator Kirk Watson (Austin, District 14) has served as a member of the Texas Senate since 2007.

Senator Royce West (Dallas, District 23) has served as a member of the Texas Senate since 1993.

Senator John Whitmire (Houston, District 15) served as a member of the Texas House of

Representatives from 1973 to 1982 and has served as a member of the Texas Senate since 1983.

Representative Alma A. Allen (Houston, District 131) was elected to the Texas House of Representatives in 2004.

Representative Carol Alvarado (Houston, District 145) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 2009.

Representative Rafael Anchia (Dallas, District 103) was elected to the Texas House of Representatives in 2004.

Representative Lon Burnam (Fort Worth, District 90) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 1997.

Representative Garnet F. Coleman (Houston, District 147) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 1991.

Representative Yvonne Davis (Dallas, District 111) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 1993.

Representative Joe Deshotel (Port Arthur, District 22) was elected to the Texas House of Representatives in 1998.

Representative Dawnna Dukes (Austin, District 46) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 1995.

Representative Harold V. Dutton, Jr. (Houston, District 142) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 1984.

Representative Joe Farias (San Antonio, District 118) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 2007.

Representative Jessica Farrar (Houston, District 148) was elected to the Texas House of Representatives in 1994.

Representative Helen Giddings (Dallas, District 109) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 1993.

Representative Naomi Gonzalez (El Paso, District 76) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 2011.

Representative Donna Howard (Austin, District 48) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 2006.

Representative Eric Johnson (Dallas, District 100) was elected to the Texas House of Representatives in 2010.

Representative Armando "Mando" Martinez (Welasco, District 39) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 2005.

Representative Ruth Jones McClendon (San Antonio, District 120) was elected to the Texas House of Representatives in 1996.

Representative Jose Menendez (San Antonio, District 124) was elected to the Texas House of Representatives in 2000.

Representative Elliott Naishtat (Austin, District 49) was elected to the Texas House of Representatives in 1990.

Representative Rene O. Oliveira (Brownsville, District 37) was elected to the Texas House of Representatives in 1981.

Representative Joe C. Pickett (El Paso, District 79) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 1995.

Representative Ron Reynolds (Missouri City, District 27) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 2011.

Representative Eddie Rodriguez (Houston, District 51) was elected to the Texas House or Representatives in 2002.

Representative Mark Strama (Austin, District 50) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 2005.

Representative Senfronia Thompson (Houston, District 141) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 1973.

Representative Sylvester Turner (Houston, District 139) was elected to the Texas House of Representatives in 1988.

Representative Marc Veasey (Fort Worth, District 95) was elected to the Texas House of Representatives in 2004.

Representative Hubert Vo (Houston, District 149) has served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives since 2005.

Representative Armando Walle (Houston, District 140) was elected to the Texas House of Representatives in 2008.

#### SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The principle that "states [have] the final decision on the bulk of day-to-day matters," Henry J. Friendly, Federalism: A Foreword, 86 YALE L.J. 1019, 1034 (1977), is fundamental to our federal system. States and localities are "laboratories of experimentation" capable of generating solutions for the nation's most pressing problems. United States v. Lopez, 514 U.S. 549, 581-82 (1995) (Kennedy, J., concurring). Nowhere is such experimentation and innovation more necessary than in public education, an area of critical importance. See Grutter v. Bollinger, 539 U.S. 306, 331 (2003) (underscoring "the overriding importance of preparing students for work and citizenship").

In respect of its higher education admissions, Texas has taken thoughtful and considered steps to address the realities of race and 21st Century higher education, in a state that is rapidly growing and increasingly diverse. These formidable are challenges acknowledged by this Court in its 2003 decision in Grutter, which held that "diversity is a compelling interest that can justify the narrowly tailored use of race in selecting applicants for admission to public universities." 539 U.S. at 322, 325. The University of Texas ("UT") - our state's flagship university - has, since 2004, utilized a hybrid admissions system designed to ensure that all students enrolled there receive the benefits of a diverse education. That admissions system years adopted after of experimentation. collaboration, and study on the part of the state legislature and educators – consists of two parts that work in concert with one another.

The first component of UT's admissions system, the Top Ten Percent Law — which was enacted 15 years ago in the wake of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit's decision in Hopwood v. Texas, 78 F.3d 932, 957 (5th Cir. 1996) — mandates that "Texas high school seniors in the top ten percent of their class be automatically admitted to any Texas state university [including University of Texas]." Pet'r's App. 19a (citing Tex. Educ. Code § 51.803 (1997)). The vast majority of the entering class is admitted under the Top Ten Percent Law. For example, in 2008, when petitioner applied to the University, 81 percent of the entering class gained admission under this provision. Pet'r's App. 26a.

second component of UT's admissions program affects applicants who, like petitioner, are not admitted through the operation of the Top Ten Since Hopwood, these students' Percent Law. applications have been reviewed through a holistic, individualized process that permits educators to consider both an Academic Index ("AI") composed of grades and test scores and a Personal Achievement Index ("PAI") that evaluates leadership, student honors, prior work history, community involvement and extracurricular activities, socioeconomic status and other unique factors and accomplishments. Beginning with the admissions cycle for the 2005 freshman class, educators are permitted to consider race as one of many factors under the holistic, individualized review process that comprises part of the University of Texas's overall admissions system. The holistic program adopted by UT tracks closely

and is functionally identical to the plan approved by this Court in *Grutter*. Pet'r's App. 71a.

Notwithstanding Grutter, petitioner challenges the constitutionality of UT's admissions program because race plays any role at all in the holistic. individualized and comprehensive process applicant review utilized by admissions officials. She asks this Court either to ignore the settled law of Grutter to find that the Top Ten Percent Law somehow precludes supplementation by a Grutter type process or, in the alternative, to overrule Grutter so that UT's program can be struck down. Amici respectfully submit that this Court should decline this invitation. Texas and its educational institutions have engaged in years of good-faith experimentation with a race-neutral system which has proven inadequate to achieve the compelling interests in diversity sought to be attained. this. Texas' use of a multi-variable process which includes consideration of race and ethnicity among a range of other factors is both constitutional and fully consistent with this Court's precedents. Accepting would run petitioner's argument the risk preventing Texas and other states experimenting and exercising their own judgment in an area to which States lay claim by right of history and expertise." Lopez, 514 U.S. at 583 (Kennedy, J., concurring).

Grutter requires state institutions of higher education to investigate "workable race-neutral alternatives that will achieve the diversity the university seeks" before resorting to any program that relies upon race. 539 U.S. at 339. This fact is fully acknowledged by UT and its leaders, all

dedicated public servants who work closely with amici and other state legislators. Texas and UT officials spent seven years experimenting with the race-neutral Top Ten Percent Law before the judgment to reconsider the use of race as one factor in admissions was ever made. But UT rightfully resists petitioner's ill-conceived argument that use of a race-neutral strategy that achieves some moderate results necessarily precludes the subsequent consideration of race and ethnicity in higher education permitted by Grutter. In this case, government officials have made an evidence-based determination that the compelling educational benefits offered by a broadly diverse student body have not been achieved using the Top Ten Percent Law exclusively. Petitioner's argument to preclude them from applying additional criteria to bridge this gap not only has no foundation in this Court's precedents but is expressly contrary to them.

Petitioner asks this Court to force states into a permanent choice between the two tracks - one raceneutral, the other race-conscious. In the real world. states and their leaders, including amici and other Texas legislators, do not have the luxury of putting their higher education systems on autopilot, blind to demographic changes and pressures that exist and affect education on the ground. Charged with educating students who will go on to be future Presidents, military leaders, or business giants. Sweatt v. Painter, 339 U.S. 629, 634 (1950), states and especially their flagship public universities have the obligation to address such pressures and to develop solutions that respond to circumstances in real time as they develop, "where the best solution is [often] far from clear." Grutter, 539 U.S. at 342

(quoting Lopez, 514 U.S. at 581 (Kennedy, J., concurring)). UT did that in this case - no more and no less. It altered its admissions program only after nearly a year of study and seven years of experience with race-neutral alternatives showed that UT students were not receiving the full benefits of educational diversity. By retaining its primary reliance on the Top Ten Percent Law. UT has implemented an admissions program that deploys race in the most "modest" way. Grutter, 539 U.S. at 387 (Kennedy, J., dissenting). That officials have taken note of the demographic changes affecting institutions of higher education in Texas does not change this basic fact. Amici are justifiably proud of the University's status as one of the premier educational institutions in the nation and, indeed, the world. But the University is equally important because of the role it plays in the State as an engine of educational opportunity. Thus, the University's officials – as well as amici and other state legislators - have an obligation to be aware of demographics so that we can ensure, as we must, that visibly open pathways to leadership and opportunity exist for all Texas citizens. See Grutter, 539 U.S. at 332. Indeed. the very future of our State and our Nation "depends upon leaders trained through wide exposure to the ideas and mores of students as diverse as this Nation of many peoples." Id. at 324 (internal omitted). citations This Court's precedents rightfully express deep skepticism of government decision making based solely on race. Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena, 515 U.S. 200, 230 (1995). We cannot and should not return to the days when African Americans like Heman Sweatt excluded from the University of Texas and other institutions of higher education merely because of their race. Sweatt. 339 U.S. at 631. That shameful legacy of exclusion is a necessary backdrop to UT's active efforts to achieve the educational benefits of diversity. States and localities are not obligated, as acknowledges, to ignore the current situation or to settle for an educational program that lacks the diversity necessary for students maximize their potential inside and outside of the Grutter, 539 U.S. at 328-30. university. In our federal system, states are still invested with primary responsibility for judgments pertaining to education and training that our future leaders receive. Id. at 325.

The longstanding principles of strict scrutiny, which this Court reaffirmed in Grutter, not the scheme petitioner proposes, provide appropriate direction on how to tread the fine line that the Constitution maps out in the area of race. As the Court of Appeals held in its decision in this case, the blended admissions program employed by UT fully comports with those requirements, Pet'r's App. 3a, which impose meaningful and constitutionally required constraints on government uses of race and ethnicity as admission criteria, id. at 5a. The UT admissions standards are not a process that has unilaterally imposed bv a university administrator but rather they reflect a unique, bipartisan, multi-racial process in which legislators, the Board of Regents, and educators worked together to advance important State educational priorities. That is the essence of federalism and of participatory democracy. This Court should thus affirm the lower court decision and uphold as constitutional UT's admissions policy - over which Texas legislators exercise continuing oversight — to ensure that UT continues to expand opportunities for students of all races, and to promote inclusion and access more generally.

#### ARGUMENT

I. THIS COURT'S PRECEDENTS
ENCOURAGE THE CREATIVE
SOLUTIONS AND STATE
EXPERIMENTATION REFLECTED IN
THE CHALLENGED UNIVERSITY OF
TEXAS ADMISSIONS PROGRAM.

This Court has long affirmed and celebrated the role of states as "laboratories of experimentation" in Lopez, 514 U.S. at 581-82 our federal system. (Kennedy, J., concurring); see also Grutter, 539 U.S. at 342; San Antonio Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 1, 50 (1973). The states' ability to craft innovative solutions celebrated by the late Judge Friendly, Henry J. Friendly, Federalism: Foreword, 86 YALE L.J. 1019, 1034 (1977), is most pronounced in areas where states carry out traditional functions critical to our democracy and national priorities. Education stands as one of the areas in which states and localities have been understood to possess special competencies. See Brown v. Bd. of Educ. of Topeka, 347 U.S. 483, 493 (1954) ("education is perhaps the most important function of state and local governments"); Grutter, 539 U.S. at 331 ("We have repeatedly acknowledged the overriding importance of preparing students for work and citizenship"); Plyler v. Doe, 457 U.S. 202,

221 (1982) (emphasizing "the importance education in maintaining our basic institutions"); Milliken v. Bradley, 418 U.S. 717, 741-42 (1974) ("No single tradition in public education is more deeply rooted than local control over the operation of schools; local autonomy has long been thought essential both to the maintenance of community concern and support for public schools and to quality of the educational process"): San Antonio Indep. Sch. Dist., 411 U.S. at 50 ("No area of social concern stands to profit more from a multiplicity viewpoints and from a diversity of approaches than does public education"); Epperson v. Arkansas, 393 U.S. 97, 104 (1968) ("By and large, public education in our Nation is committed to the control of state and Courts do not and cannot local authorities. intervene in the resolution of conflicts which arise in the daily operation of school systems and which do not directly and sharply implicate constitutional values"). Addressing matters of race and inequality has increasingly become another such area. See, e.g., Parents Involved in Comm. Schs. v. Seattle Sch. Dist. No. 1, 551 U.S. 701, 789 (2007) (Kennedy, J., concurring in part and concurring in the judgment) (emphasizing innovative strategies that government might deploy to bring "together students of diverse backgrounds and races").

The admissions program challenged in this case underscores Justice Brandeis's important observation that it "is one of the happy incidents of the federal system that a single courageous state may, if its citizens choose, serve as a laboratory; and try novel social and economic experiments without risk to the rest of the country." New State Ice Co. v. Liebmann, 285 U.S. 262, 386-87 (1932) (Brandeis, J.,

dissenting). It represents the State of Texas's effort to develop creative and innovative solutions to address the complex educational and demographic realities of 21st Century higher education as they present themselves within our jurisdiction. Amici. many of whom voted for the Top Ten Percent Law. are proud of that statute and the diversity including geographic diversity - that it has helped to promote in Texas institutions of higher education. They recognize, however, that the changing demographics of Texas are such that the Top Ten Percent Law cannot accomplish all that is needed to ensure that UT students, who will graduate and possibly one day become state legislators themselves. receive the full benefits of a broadly diverse educational program and environment.

The challenged individualized review process has its roots in what, since 1997, has been an ongoing, iterative, and very particular series of initiatives designed to address enrollment at the University of Texas – the State's flagship institution and the proving ground for its future public and private sector leaders. The relevant starting point for this case is the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit's 1996 decision in Hopwood, 78 F.3d 932. Following Hopwood, UT was required to abandon race-conscious admissions. Id. at 957.

In anticipation of a dramatic change in the profile of UT applicants, officials at that institution adopted various race-neutral strategies which they hoped would maintain diversity among UT's student body. In particular, UT determined to pair its Academic Index (AI) – a still utilized element of the admissions process that reflects a computation based

on an applicant's high school grade point, rank, and standardized test scores — with an individualized, "holistic review" that sought to "identify and reward students whose merit as applicants was not adequately reflected by their class rank and test scores." Pet'r's App. 121a. Neither this change, nor several other race-neutral initiatives adopted by UT to boost minority enrollment — including targeted recruitment and outreach and scholarship programs — forestalled the adverse changes feared. *Id.* at 121a-122a. The number of African American and Latino students who matriculated as UT freshman still dropped precipitously. *Id.* 

Later in 1997, the Texas state legislature responded to Hopwood and the changes in the student body at UT with the Top Ten Percent Law. House Bill 588, codified as Tex. Educ. Code § 51.803 (1997). Enacted by a bipartisan, multi-racial, and geographically diverse majority of the legislature, including many of the amici, that statute effectively sets initial admissions standards at UT and other institutions by mandating that "Texas high school seniors in the top ten percent of their class be automatically admitted to any Texas state university [including UT]," Pet'r's App. 19a (citing Tex. Educ. Code § 51.803 (1997); see also Statewide Higher Educational Admissions Policy, HB 588, House Research Organization Bill Analysis (April 15. 1997)<sup>2</sup> at 1. The purpose of this racially-neutral plan was two-fold: to ensure meaningful diversity at institutions such as UT and to "ensure a highly qualified pool of students," Pet'r's App. 123a (citation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> http://www.hro.house.state.tx.us/pdf/ba75r/ hb0588.pdf#navpanes=0 (last visited Aug. 10, 2012).

omitted), while expanding the range of high schools sending students to UT, id. at 57a. Ultimately, the Top Ten Percent Law had some success in diversifying the class racially and substantial success in diversifying it geographically, id. at 19a-20a, 57a, but, in the judgment of multiple stakeholders in Texas, it failed fully to address the lack of meaningful diversity on campus to the prejudice of the learning environment, id. at 23a.

Petitioner casts the individualized and holistic admissions process - in which race was one of many factors considered in reviewing applicants like her who did not rank in the top ten percent of their high school class - as the ill-conceived brain child of a lone group of administrators acting without factual support. Pet'r's Br. 5, 34. But she misunderstands the place of the holistic review system in UT's admissions process. Since 2004, UT has included race and ethnicity, along with a range of other including "demonstrated leadership factors qualities, awards and honors, work experience and involvement in extracurricular activities services," community as well 28 circumstances" such as "the socioeconomic status of the applicant and his or her high school, the applicant's family status and family responsibilities. the applicant's standardized test score compared to the average of her high school" - in an applicant's PAI, which, in turn, is considered along with grades, test scores and essays in reviewing applicants who are not admitted through the Top Ten Percent Law. Pet'r's App. 27a-28a. The overall admissions system, including the individualized program of review that considers race and ethnicity as one of many factors. properly understood as the result of is

collaborative, multi-pronged effort on the part of state legislative and educational officials, building upon the Top Ten Percent Law, to ensure open access and equity in one of the largest institutions of higher education in the country.

In June 2003, this Court changed controlling constitutional law with its decision in Grutter, 539 U.S. 306, which overruled Hopwood and held that a compelling governmental interest exists considering race as one of many factors in a system of holistic review to achieve the educational benefits of diversity. The legislature, significantly, did not act to repeal the Top Ten Percent Law. In August of 2003, the Board of Regents - which exercises the delegated authority to make educational decisions for the University of Texas<sup>3</sup> - did, however, authorize the University of Texas to examine "whether to consider an applicant's race ethnicity in admissions in accordance with the standards enunciated in Grutter." Pet'r's App. 21a (internal citations omitted). Pursuant to this authorization, the University of Texas undertook a nearly year-long, deliberative review admissions process to determine whether race and ethnicity should be integrated into the admission process, id. at 23a, designed to determine whether

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Tex. Educ. Code § 65.11 (West 2011) (vesting the governance of the University of Texas system "in a board of nine regents appointed by the governor with the advice and consent of the Senate"). The scope of governance includes, among other things, the authority to determine degree and course offerings and set the number of students admitted to any course or department, appoint faculty, administer financial gifts, and promulgate rules and regulations for the management of the university system. *Id* § 65.31.

the "University was enrolling a critical mass of underrepresented minorities." id. at 21a. studies found that "UT had not yet achieved the critical mass of underrepresented minority students needed to obtain the full educational benefits of diversity," id. at 23a, and also concluded minority students felt isolated and that a majority of students felt "there was insufficient minority representation in classrooms for the full benefits of diversity to occur." id. at 22a (internal citations omitted). June 2004, after nearly a year of study and nearly years of experience with race-neutral admissions policies. UT officials approved a revised policy that would, consistent with Grutter, permit the consideration of race and ethnicity as one of many factors in a holistic system of review designed to supplement the Top Ten Percent Law and more fully secure the educational benefits of diversity found lacking in the two commissioned studies. Importantly, UT's post-Grutter holistic program is widely thought to have increased the percentage of highly qualified underrepresented minority students. Pet'r's App. 22a-24a.

The Texas legislature, which has historically been highly deferential to the Board of Regents to which it has delegated authority in higher education matters, had no occasion formally to ratify the hybrid admissions policy that resulted from UT's decision to consider race and ethnicity as factors under its holistic review process. The legislature has, however, affirmed that judgment by not taking legislative action against it in 2004 and by recently enacting legislation that directly relies upon the operation of the holistic, individualized review

In 2009, the Texas state legislature amended the Top Ten Percent Law by capping the number of students who could be admitted to the University of Texas at Austin under that program at 75% of the entering freshman class, effective Fall Id. at 19a n.56 (citing Tex. Educ. Code § This amendment is hereinafter 51.803(a-1)). referred to as the "Cap Statute." The Cap Statute, which responds to University of Texas officials' concerns that they lacked admissions flexibility in an era of demographic growth, contains provisions if the use of indicating that race in individualized review process for students admitted through the Top Ten Percent Law is subsequently invalidated, the 75% cap would be lifted and students would be admitted to the University of Texas at Austin almost entirely under the Top Ten Percent Law.4 In effect, the Cap Statute recognized and ratified ex post the admissions framework established by the adoption of UT's post-Grutter race-conscious holistic review. See Limit on Top Ten Percent Automatic Admissions Policy, SB 175, House Research Organization Bill Analysis (May 20, 2009)<sup>5</sup> at 6. Indeed, section 7 of the Cap Statute makes it plain that the legislature intended to "continue and facilitate progress in general academic teaching institutions in this state with regard to the racial, ethnic, demographic. geographic, and rural/urban diversity of the student bodies of those institutions in undergraduate. graduate, and professional education" and expressly

<sup>4</sup> Tex. Educ. Code § 51.803(k) (West 2011).

<sup>5</sup> http://www.hro.house.state.tx.us/pdf/ba81r/ sb0175.pdf#navpanes=0 (last visited Aug. 10, 2012).

did not want to "preven[t] a[ny] general academic teaching institution in this state from engaging in appropriate individualized holistic review, consistent with that purpose, for the admission of students who are not entitled to automatic admission..."

amici respectfully submit sum. petitioner's efforts to discredit the processes surrounding the adoption and operation of UT's individualized, holistic admissions program should The collaborative legislative rejected. educational efforts reflected in UT's current admissions scheme evince the kind of careful consideration and experimentation by states that is encouraged by this Court's precedents. See Lopez. 514 U.S. at 581-82 (recognizing states and localities as important "laboratories of experimentation"). There is no reason to reconsider the "proper respect for state functions" typically accorded jurisdictions like Texas in our federal system, where it is understood that "the National Government will fare best if the States and their institutions are left free to perform their separate functions in their separate ways," Younger v. Harris, 401 U.S. 37, 44 (1971). UT's admissions policy offers an innovative model for addressing the practical challenges of building a diverse learning environment at institutions of higher education in the 21st Century and, as discussed below, fully comports with the Grutter precedent to which its adoption responded.

<sup>6 2009</sup> Tex. Sess. Law Serv., 19 (West).

II. EXPERIMENTATION WITH RACE-NEUTRAL ALTERNATIVES DOES NOT PRECLUDE A STATE FROM ALSO ADOPTING RACE-CONSCIOUS STRATEGIES THAT SATISFY STRICT SCRUTINY.

Petitioner does not challenge the Top Ten Percent Law, which results in the bulk of UT admissions. See Pet'r's App. 20a. Indeed, she essentially concedes the constitutionality of the Top Ten Percent Law that has informed admissions to Texas universities since 1997. Pet'r's Br. 35: Pet. for Cert. 21. Petitioner objects only to the additional admissions overlay established by the post-Grutter holistic program that applies to applicants not qualifying for admission under the Top Ten Percent Law. Pet'r's Br. 26. Specifically, petitioner contends that, because of the existence of the Top Ten Percent Law, UT's "holistic" system of individualized applicant review cannot be deemed constitutional, even if it comports fully with the binding precedent established by Grutter. Pet. for Cert. 34.

In effect, petitioner suggests that virtually any attempt to pair a race-neutral admissions program with one that considers race and ethnicity as one of many factors designed to achieve the educational benefits of diversity renders the resulting hybrid program constitutionally infirm. This Court's precedents certainly require state institutions of higher education first to investigate "workable race-neutral alternatives that will achieve the diversity the university seeks" before resorting to any program that relies upon race. Grutter, 539 U.S. at

339. But they do not support the novel position advanced by petitioner. There is no foundation in this Court's precedents for petitioner's contention that adoption of a race-neutral admissions plan that has achieved some success in creating diversity in the student body necessarily precludes the subsequent consideration of race and ethnicity in higher education permitted by *Grutter*, especially where, as here, government officials have made a good-faith determination grounded in evidence that the compelling educational benefits offered by a broadly diverse student body have not been achieved using solely the race-neutral system.

## A. This Court's Precedents Do Not Preclude UT's Simultaneous Use of Race-Neutral and Race-Conscious Strategies.

The exhortation that states explore viable raceneutral alternatives to utilize race as a consideration in a program's operation has, rightfully, become a regular coda in this Court's race cases. See. e.g., Johnson v. California, 543 U.S. 499, 522-23 (2005) (holding policy unconstitutional "[w]hen there has been no serious, good faith consideration of raceneutral alternatives . . . and when obvious, easy alternatives are available") (internal omitted); Grutter, 539 U.S. at 339 (holding that "[n]arrow tailoring . . . require[s] serious, good faith consideration of workable race-neutral alternatives"); United States v. Paradise, 480 U.S. 149, 171 (1987) (explaining that "[i]n determining whether race-conscious remedies are appropriate, we look to several factors, including . . . the efficacy of alternative remedies"); Wygant v. Jackson Bd. of Educ.. 476 U.S. 267, 280 n. 6 (1986) (holding that narrow tailoring "require[s] consideration" of "lawful alternative(s) and less restrictive means"). reflects an understanding of the "sorry history of both private and public [racial] discrimination in this country," City of Richmond v. J.A. Croson Co., 488 U.S. 469, 499 (1989), and the threat that such discrimination poses to our democracy. This Court. however, has made it clear that the Constitution does not require the adoption of race-neutral alternatives unlikely to achieve the compelling educational interests served by institutions of higher education. Grutter, 539 U.S. at 339 ("Narrow tailoring does not require exhaustion of every conceivable race-neutral alternative. Nor does it require a university to choose between maintaining a reputation for excellence or fulfilling a commitment to provide educational opportunities to members of all racial groups.").

In Texas, we understand all too well the of the imperative to minimize unnecessary, and potentially discriminatory uses of race. The invidious policies of racial segregation invalidated in Sweatt, 339 U.S. 629, still cast a shadow here. In 2003, when Grutter held that achieving the educational benefits of a broadly diverse student body constitutes a compelling interest governmental under the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause, 539 U.S. at 328, Texas legislators and education officials, as previously noted, did not move immediately to repeal the Top Ten Percent Law. Instead, a careful assessment of higher education priorities ensued in which institutions such as UT assessed how well.

given their particular circumstances, they were serving the compelling interests recognized in Grutter. Pet'r's App. 21a-25a. After nearly seven years of experience with the race-neutral Top Ten Percent Plan and nearly a year of study and evaluation of its educational programs, UT officials made the sound educational judgment in 2004 that, while that plan provided an adequate foundation for admissions efforts at their institution, a holistic, individualized system of review that included limited consideration of race and ethnicity, among the many other factors included therein, was necessary to ensure that all students at UT received the compelling educational benefits of diversity. Pet'r's App. 23a-25a.

In order to accept petitioner's contention that UT improperly in adopting Я individualized review program in addition to the race-neutral Top Ten Percent Plan adopted by the legislature, one must essentially conceive of this Court's cases as creating two distinct, neverintersecting tracks for government decision making when it comes to addressing realities of race in their jurisdictions. On this theory, a state must commit itself in the first instance to a particular course of action and never supplement or depart from it, even when the limits of its effectiveness become apparent or when modification would be in the public interest. In effect, a state that has considered and found helpful, but not sufficient, certain race-neutral alternatives must never utilize even those raceconscious strategies whose use would comport with strict scrutiny and better achieve the compelling interests sought. Conversely, on petitioner's view, a state that has determined, in the absence of workable race-neutral alternatives for its jurisdiction, to consider race in the first instance must do so as much as possible or risk running afoul of the Fourteenth Amendment.

The limitations of petitioner's proposed approach are immediately apparent. It would hamstring states in carrying out their essential functions, Lopez, 514 U.S. at 580 (Kennedy, J. concurring), chill experimentation, and plainly discourage the consideration of the very race-neutral alternatives that the Court has required as a precursor to race-conscious methods. See Parents Involved, 551 U.S. at 789 (Kennedy, J., concurring in part and concurring in the judgment) (discussing range of possible race-neutral alternatives that could be utilized by school districts). It risks undermining the important goal of minimizing uses of race that the Court's precedents reflect. Resp'ts' Br. 20.

Even more, petitioner's argument rests on a complete misreading of the Court's precedents. No case requires states to make the sort of Solomonic choice urged. If anything, this Court's precedents recognize that, while states must give serious consideration the adoption to of race-neutral alternatives, Grutter, 539 U.S. at 339, resort to raceconscious policies may sometimes be appropriate. See id. at 327 ("When race-based action is necessary to further a compelling governmental interest, such action does not violate the constitutional guarantee of equal protection so long as the narrow-tailoring requirement is also satisfied"). Indeed, sound policy should reward rather than seek to limit a state that employs race-neutral alternatives and then decides. based upon that experience, that more is required to achieve its goals of creating a diverse learning environment to produce a vibrant future community of Texas leaders. Where a state finds the use of race necessary to serve compelling interests, petitioner would ask this Court to apply the unspoken guidelines of her imaginary, pre-fabricated tracks in evaluating that choice.

But this Court's cases are crystal clear. scrutiny applies to the determination of whether UT's educational judgment to overlay the otherwise race-neutral Top Ten Percent Plan with a program of holistic, individualized review that considers race and ethnicity as one of many factors comports with constitutional requirements, not the Hobson's choice proposed by petitioner. See Grutter. 539 U.S. at 326 ("We apply strict scrutiny to all racial classifications to 'smoke out' illegitimate uses of race by assuring that [government] is pursuing a goal important enough to warrant use of a highly suspect tool") (internal citation omitted); Gratz v. Bollinger, 539 U.S. 244, 270 (2003) ("It is by now established that all racial classifications reviewable under the Equal Protection Clause must be strictly scrutinized") (internal citation omitted); Adarand Constructors, 515 U.S. at 230 (holding that "It he application of strict scrutiny . . . determines whether a compelling governmental interest justifies the infliction of that injury"). Grutter directs how matters unique to the higher education context should be evaluated under that rigorous method of review. 539 U.S. at 327, 333-43.

B. Petitioner Misapprehends the Top Ten Percent Law's Objectives and the Unique Educational Context in which UT's Admissions Plan Operates.

Counterintuitively, petitioner charges UT with using race both too little and too much. Pet'r's Br. 8-11. In doing so, petitioner badly misapprehends the objectives of the Top Ten Percent Law and the unique challenges confronting higher education in the State of Texas. The reality of the context in which legislators and higher education officials operate has direct relevance here. See Grutter, 539 U.S. at 327 ("Context matters when reviewing racebased governmental action under the Equal Protection Clause").

In effect, petitioner urges this Court to consider the impact of the Top Ten Percent Law determining whether UT had a compelling need to apply its holistic, individualized review program, but then to discount that impact when assessing UT's compliance with even traditional narrow tailoring But she cannot have it both ways. modest use of race about which petitioner complains in the operation of UT's holistic, individualized review system is a direct function of the Top Ten Percent Law's proper operation, not proof the program fails strict scrutiny. UT does not utilize race more because the Texas legislature, in enacting and then retaining the Top Ten Percent Law post-Grutter, sought first to explore and adopt, where possible, race-neutral alternatives. Neither the Texas legislature nor UT should be penalized for that experimentation.

If anything, the legislators and educators who share responsibility for UT's current admissions program deserve praise for identifying a way of minimizing the need to consider race in its decisions. while maximizing admissions compelling educational benefits of diversity through a holistic, individualized review program, as strict scrutiny requires. See Grutter, 539 U.S. at 340-43. The way to assess that program's impact is not, as petitioner intimates, merely to count the heads of the minority students whose admission it facilitates a proposal that, ironically, smacks of the racial balancing of which petitioner accuses UT. Instead. Grutter requires an investigation into how well and carefully UT's admissions program works to advance the compelling interest in achieving the educational benefits of diversity. Grutter, 539 U.S. at 334-41; see also Regents of Univ. of Cal. v. Bakke, 438 U.S. 265, 315 (1978); Wygant, 476 U.S. at 280.7

Texas officials, importantly, do not operate in the vacuum that petitioner seems to imagine. Legislators must carry out their functions and develop innovative strategies to balance often competing priorities based on facts as they exist on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Significantly, not even petitioner disputes the tremendous value of the education UT provides or the extent to which it, in ensuring that students have the opportunity to meet and engage with colleagues from a wide range of backgrounds inside the classroom and out, prepares "students for work and citizenship." Grutter, 539 U.S. at 331 (citing Plyler, 457 U.S. at 221). Respondents' brief demonstrates that, while the consideration of race under its individualized system of review is modest, the impact that it has on the educational benefits that students receive inside and outside of the classroom is profound. Resp'ts' Br. 14-15.

the ground at any given time. Indeed, the legislative sponsors of the Top Ten Percent Law were clearly conscious of the "changing needs of the state's changing population" in drafting that statute. Statewide Higher Educational Admissions Policy. HB 588, House Research Organization Bill Analysis (Apr. 15, 1997) at 3. Likewise, UT "prepares students for work[,] . . . citizenship," and leadership in the state's public and private institutions in a complex, constantly shifting environment that, in the fifteen years since the Top Ten Percent Plan's adoption, has only become more demographically varied and will become even more so in the future. Susan Combs, Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. Window on State Government, Demographic Change in Education.8

Statistics show that Texas' racial and ethnic composition is changing dramatically. For example, "in 1980, the Anglo population accounted for 65.7 percent of the state's total population, but by 2006 its share had declined to 48.3 percent. The Hispanic population, by contrast, accounted for 21 percent of the state's population in 1980 and 35.7 percent in 2006. The black population share declined slightly over the same period, from 11.9 percent in 1980 to 11.4 percent in 2006. The share attributable to the "Other" category, including persons of Asian and Native American descent, rose from 1.4 percent in 1980 to 4.6 percent in 2006." Id.demographics have significant implications not only for UT but for "all Texas elementary, secondary and postsecondary educational institutions" which are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> http://www.window.state.tx.us/specialrpt/workforce/demo.php (last visited Aug. 10, 2012).

facing a "more racially and ethnically diverse student body." *Id.* This, in short, is the complex, polyglot world that UT is preparing its student to lead.

Petitioner's suggestion that one should look to enrollment levels from the period prior to the adoption of the Top Ten Percent Plan to determine the constitutionality of admissions efforts today is baseless.9 The Constitution simply does not require government officials to ignore the demographic realities and dynamic diversity of the jurisdictions in which they carry out their responsibilities. Parents Involved, 551 U.S. at 789 (Kennedy, J., concurring in part and concurring in the judgment) (encouraging consideration of race-neutral strategies for addressing the need for diversity that take account of the realities of race on the ground without racial classifications). utilizing Petitioner's contention that mere awareness of demographic conditions constitutes racial balancing simply makes no sense in 21st Century Texas, where people from all over the country and the world come to make their future. The University of Texas is of vital importance to the State and has an obligation to "provide superior and comprehensive educational opportunities and to contribute to the advancement of society." Resp'ts' Br. 5 (citation omitted). Amici respectfully submit that, to ensure that visibly open

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Likewise, amici respectfully submit that this Court should not assume, as petitioner does, that the compelling governmental interests in the educational benefits of diversity had been achieved by UT in 1996. Indeed, respondents make it plain that UT-based educators were of the opinion that the benefits of diversity had not fully been achieved at that time. Resp'ts' Br. 21.

pathways to leadership and world-class education are open for all Texans, there must be at least some acknowledgment of the need for diversity in the learning environment and the potential barriers to creating access to that environment in this State.

Grutter and other precedents, the relevant inquiry in determining whether UT has used race too much is not whether officials the demographics and appreciate educational realities of the Texas communities from which UT primarily draws its student body. Rather, it is whether the use of race as part of the admissions criteria is so significant as to amount to racial quotas or a guarantee of "a specified percentage of the student body . . . to be members of selected ethnic groups." See Grutter, 539 U.S. at 324 (quoting Bakke, 438 U.S. at 315). As Chief Justice Roberts recognized in his plurality opinion in Parents Involved, ensuring that an institution engages in "individualized consideration [of applicants] in the context of a race-conscious admissions program is what is "paramount" in the higher education context. 551 U.S. at 723. The record below demonstrates that UT admissions officials neither use racial quotas nor even consider demographic information when conducting the holistic reviews of applicant files required under UT's admissions programs. See Resp'ts' Br. 21. The Court of Appeals' judgment that UT's admissions program satisfies this and other requirements of strict scrutiny, Pet'r's App. 71a. should thus not be disturbed.

III. THE REALITIES OF 21st CENTURY EDUCATION AND RACE REQUIRE THAT STATES BE PERMITTED TO PURSUE INNOVATIVE STRATEGIES TO MEET COMPELLING NEEDS WITHIN THE LIMITS OF STRICT SCRUTINY.

Texans can be proud that a great deal has changed in our State and Nation since Sweatt v. Painter was decided. But, as Grutter attests, the realities of race and higher education in the 21st Century still make the adoption of innovative strategies necessary to ensure that our universities, as well as other important public and private institutions, reflect the broad diversity that is America. See Grutter, 539 U.S. at 330-32. like Texas need the room to experiment with and adopt innovative programs designed to address the realities of higher education and the challenges to creating a diverse learning environment in order to develop the leaders of a multi-cultural Texas of the future. See Lopez, 514 U.S. at 583 (Kennedy, J., concurring); see also Statewide Higher Educational Admissions Policy, HB 588, House Research Organization Bill Analysis (Apr. 15, 1997) at 4 (acknowledging, inter alia, that the Top Ten Percent Plan could achieve a certain level of diversity because of the persistence of racial segregation in many regions of the state).

Petitioner asks this Court to invalidate UT's admissions policy, the product of almost fifteen years of experimentation and collaboration on the part of state legislators and educators. In its stead, she proposes locking in place the Top Ten Percent Plan

sole admissions criterion, which significant merits but does not fully achieve the legitimate goals of Texas higher education. reality, the doctrinal approach that she advances would ultimately require states and localities across the country to "cope with the difficult problems they deprived of one means they may find necessary." Parents Involved, 551 U.S. at 866 (Breyer, J., dissenting). "Our federalism" insists upon a less constrained and more robust role for states and localities in addressing issues as critical as education and race. Younger, 401 U.S. at 44. Indeed, it is premised on the "belief that the National Government will fare best if the States and their institutions are left free to perform their separate functions in their separate ways." Id.

History tells us that, especially where race is concerned, silver bullets and easy answers will unfortunately be hard to find. See Lopez, 514 U.S. at 581-82 (Kennedy, J., concurring) (noting that states and localities necessarily "perform their role as laboratories for experimentation to devise various solutions [in situations] where the best solution is far from clear"). Instead of the rigid, two-track approach for which petitioner advocates, states must have the latitude to contend not with an idealized set of facts, but with the facts - e.g., socio-economic, geographical, residential, racial and ethnic, and educational - as they exist on the ground in the jurisdictions in which they carry out their important functions. Id.Just as Texas legislators and educators came to the collective judgment that the demographic realities of our State's residential neighborhoods and public elementary and secondary schools limit their ability to achieve the benefits of diversity through race-neutral means alone, officials responsible for higher education in California or Montana must have the room to devise solutions that will work with their unique citizenry and systems of higher education. "All members of our heterogeneous society must have confidence in the openness and integrity of the educational institutions that provide this training." Grutter, 539 U.S. at 332.

In urging that the Court uphold the University's admissions process, amici, importantly, do not ask this Court to write a blank check for states seeking to experiment with a range of solutions - raceneutral, as well as race-conscious - in grappling with the challenges facing higher education in the 21st Instead. amici Century. argue that requirements of strict scrutiny reaffirmed in Grutter place meaningful constraints on government entities and that those constraints have been fully honored here. They ensure that we will never return to a world in which the access of a candidate like Heman Sweatt to a state's flagship institution of higher education depends on race alone. They also ensure that UT will not be precluded from having access to the rich diversity of talent and experience in this State. UT's admissions program reflects not racial balancing, but the careful balancing of priorities and resources committed to producing the future leaders of Texas and elsewhere, and should be upheld as constitutional

#### CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the judgment of the Court of Appeals upholding the constitutionality of the University of Texas' undergraduate admissions policy should be affirmed.

Dated: August 13, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

Robin A. Lenhardt Fordham University School of Law 140 West 62nd Street New York, NY 10023 (212) 636-7608 Eric L. Lewis
Counsel of Record
Lewis Baach PLLC
1201 F Street, NW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 833-8900
eric.lewis@lewisbaach.com

Michelle Adams
Benjamin N. Cardozo
School of Law
55 Fifth Avenue
Suite 507
New York, NY 10003
(212) 790-0478

John Brittain
David A. Clarke
School of Law
University of the District
of Columbia
4200 Connecticut
Avenue, NW
Washington. DC 20008
(202) 274-6443

Counsel for Amici Curiae